

## Electrostatic Discharge Prevention-Input Protection Circuits and Handling Guide for CMOS Devices

### Introduction

During the past few years, there have been significant increase in the usage of low-power CMOS devices in system designs. This has resulted in more stringent attention to handling techniques of these devices, due to their static sensitivity, than ever before.

All CMOS devices, which are composed of complementary pairs of N- and P-channel MOSFETs, are susceptible to damage by the discharge of electrostatic energy between any two pins. This sensitivity to static charge is due to the fact that gate input capacitance (5 pF typical) in parallel with an extremely high input resistance ( $10^{12}\Omega$  typical) lends itself to a high input impedance and hence readily builds up the electrostatic charges, unless proper precautionary measures are taken. This voltage build-up on the gate can easily break down the thin (1000Å) gate oxide insulator beneath the gate metal. Local defects such as pinholes or lattice defects of gate oxide can substantially reduce the dielectric strength from a breakdown field of  $8-10 \times 10^6 \text{V/cm}$  to  $3-4 \times 10^6 \text{V/cm}$ . This then becomes the limiting factor on how much voltage can be applied safely to the gates of CMOS devices.

When a higher voltage, resulting from a static discharge, is applied to the device, permanent damage like a short to substrate,  $V_{DD}$  pin,  $V_{SS}$  pin, or output can occur. Now static electricity is always present in any manufacturing environment. It is generated whenever two different materials are rubbed together. A person walking across a production floor can generate a charge of thousands of volts. A person working at a bench, sliding around on a stool or rubbing his arms on the work bench can develop a high static potential. Table 1 shows the results of work done by Speakman<sup>1</sup> on various static potentials developed in a common environment. The ambient relative humidity, of course, has a great effect on the amount of static charge developed, as moisture tends to provide a leakage path to ground and helps reduce the static charge accumulation.


**TABLE 1. Various Voltages Generated in 15%–30% Relative Humidity (after Speakman<sup>1</sup>)**

Condition	Most Common Reading (Volts)	Highest Reading (Volts)
Person walking across carpet	12,000	39,000
Person walking across vinyl floor	4,000	13,000
Person working at bench	500	3,000
16-lead DIPs in plastic box	3,500	12,000
16-lead DIPs in plastic shipping tube	500	3,000

### Standard Input Protection Networks

In order to protect the gate oxide against moderate levels of electrostatic discharge, protective networks are provided on all Fairchild CMOS devices, as described below.

Figure 1 shows the standard protection circuit used on all A, B, and 74C series CMOS devices. The series resistance of  $200\Omega$  using a P<sup>+</sup> diffusion helps limit the current when the input is subjected to a high-voltage zap. Associated with this resistance is a distributed diode network to  $V_{DD}$  which protects against positive transients. An additional diode to  $V_{SS}$  helps to shunt negative surges by forward conduction. Development work is currently being done at Fairchild on various other input protection schemes.



Diode Breakdown

$D_1 = 25\text{V}$

$D_2 = 60\text{V}$


$D_3 = 100\text{V}$

\*These are intrinsic diodes

**FIGURE 1. Standard Input Protection Network**

### Other Protective Networks

Figure 2 shows the modified protective network for CD4049/4050 buffer. The input diode to  $V_{DD}$  is deleted here so that level shifting can be achieved where inputs are higher than  $V_{DD}$ .



Diode Breakdown

$D_1 = 25V$

$D_2 = 60V$


$D_3 = 100V$

\*These are intrinsic diodes

**FIGURE 2. Protective Network for CD4049/50 and MM74C901/2**

Figure 3 shows a transmission gate with the intrinsic diode protection. No additional series resistors are used so the on resistance of the transmission gate is not affected.

All CMOS circuits from Fairchild's CD4000 Series and 74C Series meet MIL-STD-38510 zap test requirements of 400V from a 100 pF charging capacitor and 1.5 kΩ series resistance. This human body simulated model of 100 pF capacitance in series with 1.5 kΩ series resistance was proposed by Lenzlinger<sup>2</sup> and has been widely accepted by the industry. The set-up used to perform the zap test is shown in Figure 4.



Diode Breakdown

$D_1 = 25V$

$D_2 = 60V$

\*These are intrinsic diodes

**FIGURE 3. Transmission Gate with Intrinsic Diodes to Protect Against Static Discharge**

$V_{ZAP}$  is applied to DUT in the following modes by charging the 100 pF capacitor to  $V_{ZAP}$  with the switch  $S_1$  in position 1 and then switching to position 2, thus discharging the charge through 1.5 kΩ series resistance into the device under test. Table 2 shows the various modes used for testing.

**TABLE 2. Modes of High-Voltage Test**

Mode	+ Terminal	- Terminal
1	Input	$V_{SS}$
2	$V_{DD}$	Input
3	Input	Associated Output
4	Associated Output	Input


Pre- and post-zap performance is monitored on the input leakage parameter at  $V_{DD} = 18V$ . It has been found that all Fairchild's CMOS devices of CD4000 and 74C families can withstand 400V zap testing with above mentioned conditions and still be under the pre- and post-zap input leakage conditions of  $\pm 10$  nA.

### Handling Guide for CMOS Devices

From Table 1, it is apparent that extremely high static voltages generated in a manufacturing environment can destroy even the optimally protected devices by reaching their threshold failure energy levels. For preventing such catastrophes, simple precautions taken could save thousands of dollars for both the manufacturer and the user.

In handling unmounted chips, care should be taken to avoid differences in voltage potential between pins. Conductive carriers such as conductive foams or conductive rails should be used in transporting devices. The following simple precautions should also be observed.

1. Soldering-iron tips, metal parts of fixtures and tools, and handling facilities should be grounded.
2. Devices should not be inserted into or removed from circuits with the power on because transient voltages may cause permanent damage.
3. Table tops should be covered with grounded conductive tops. Also test areas should have conductive floor mats.



**FIGURE 4. Equivalent RC Network to Simulate Human Body Static Discharge (after Lenzlinger<sup>2</sup>)**

Above all, there should be static awareness amongst all personnel involved who handle CMOS devices or the sub-assembly boards. Automated feed mechanisms for testing of devices, for example, must be insulated from the device under test at the point where devices are connected to the test set. This is necessary as the transport path of devices can generate very high levels of static electricity due to continuous sliding of devices. Proper grounding of equipment or presence of ionized-air blowers can eliminate all these problems.

At Fairchild all CMOS devices are handled using all the precautions described above. The devices are also transported in anti-static rails or conductive foams. Anti-static, by definition<sup>3</sup> means a container which resists generation of triboelectric charge (frictionally generated) as the device is inserted into, removed from, or allowed to slide around in it. It must be emphasized here that packaging problems will

**Handling Guide for CMOS Devices** (Continued)

not be solved merely by using anti-static rails or containers as they do not necessarily shield devices from external static fields, such as those generated by a charged person. Commercially available static shielding bags, such as 3M company's low resistivity ( $\leq 10^4 \Omega/\text{sq.}$ ) metallic coated polyester bags, will help prevent damages due to external stray fields. These bags work on the well-known Faraday cage principle. Other commercially available materials are Legge company's conductive wrist straps, conductive floor coating, and various other grounding straps which help prevent against the electrostatic damage by providing conductive paths for the generated charge and equipotential surfaces.

It can be concluded that electrostatic discharge prevention is achievable with simple awareness and careful handling of CMOS devices. This will mean wide and useful applications of CMOS in system designs.

**Footnotes**

1. T.S. Speakman, "A Model for the Failure of Bipolar Silicon Integrated Circuits Subjected to ESD," 12th Annual Proc. of Reliability Physics, 1974.
2. M. Lenzlinger, "Gate Protection of MIS Devices," IEEE Transac. on Electron Devices, ED-18, No. 4, April 1971.
3. J.R. Huntsman, D.M. Yenni, G. Mueller, "Fundamental Requirements for Static Protective Containers." Presented at 1980 Nepcon/West Conference, Application Note—3M Static Control Systems.

Fairchild does not assume any responsibility for use of any circuitry described, no circuit patent licenses are implied and Fairchild reserves the right at any time without notice to change said circuitry and specifications.

**LIFE SUPPORT POLICY**

FAIRCHILD'S PRODUCTS ARE NOT AUTHORIZED FOR USE AS CRITICAL COMPONENTS IN LIFE SUPPORT DEVICES OR SYSTEMS WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE PRESIDENT OF FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION. As used herein:

1. Life support devices or systems are devices or systems which, (a) are intended for surgical implant into the body, or (b) support or sustain life, and (c) whose failure to perform when properly used in accordance with instructions for use provided in the labeling, can be reasonably expected to result in a significant injury to the user.
2. A critical component in any component of a life support device or system whose failure to perform can be reasonably expected to cause the failure of the life support device or system, or to affect its safety or effectiveness.

[www.fairchildsemi.com](http://www.fairchildsemi.com)